

ADCC Reporter Bioassay:

A Novel, Bioluminescent Cell-Based Assay for Quantifying Fc Effector Function of Antibodies

Richard Somberg, Ph.D.

October 2012





Outline

- Introduction to ADCC Problem with classic ADCC assays
- Principle of the ADCC Reporter Bioassay
- "Cells as reagents" *Frozen, thaw-and-use format*
- Performance Specific, Linear, Precise, Accurate, Reproducible, as well as Potency & Stability Indicating
- Testing Ab variants *Glycosylation & Fucosylation*
- Commercial formats *Kits & Cell Propagation Model*



Complicated Biology — Simple Assay





Global Biologics Market & Forecast



- Monoclonal antibodies (mAb) ~ 1/3rd of total biologics market
- mAb = \$48 billion in 2010, expected \$86 billion by 2015 (CAGR) of 12.4%



Biological Product – Monoclonal Antibody (mAb)

- Biological products are generally produced using a living system or organism.
- Biological products may be manufactured through biotechnology, derived from natural sources, or produced synthetically.





An Ideal Bioassay...

- Reflective of the mechanism of action (MOA) of the biological product
- Well controlled (precise, accurate, robust, reproducible)
- Stability-indicating
- Usable as a QC lot-release assay

Modified from Chana Fuchs (DMA/CDER)

In this webinar, we will demonstrate how the novel ADCC Reporter Bioassay fulfills each of these elements



Mechanism of Action (MOA) for mAb





Introduction to ADCC





What is ADCC?

<u>Antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC)</u> is the main MOA of antibodies through which virus-infected or other diseased cells are targeted for destruction by components of the cell-mediated immune system, such as NK cells



Image source: Wikipedia



Classic ADCC Assays

Effector cells

- PBMCs (peripheral blood mononuclear cells)
- NK from PBMCs
- NK cell lines

Target cells

- Load with chromium-51 or Eu
- Monitor cell lysis (LDH, Calcein AM, GAPDH, CytoTox-Glo™)





The Problem with Current ADCC assays





Case Study – ADCC Challenge

- A company acquired a late-stage mAb drug and needed to switch the manufacturing cell line and process to fit into their standard process
- FDA requested that an assay examining the mAb mechanism of action (ADCC) be used to demonstrate similarity between process change
- Classic ADCC assays had poor reproducibility, high variability, and were not suitable for use
- The company developed a reporter assay with low variability, high reproducibility, and used it to successfully demonstrate similarity and make the manufacturing cell line change



Solution: A Better ADCC Bioassay





Classic ADCC assay vs ADCC Reporter Bioassay

Classic ADCC assay



Signal is from target cell

- High variability of assay mainly due to primary NK cells
- Spontaneous lysis of target & effector cells results in high background

Reporter-based ADCC bioassay



Signal is from effector cell

- Reduced variability by replacing NK cells with genetically engineered stable cell line
 - FcγRIIIa (V158)
 - NFAT-RE luc2
- Improved bioassay performance with robust reagents and assay design



Principle of the ADCC Reporter Bioassay



Scientific Basis of ADCC Reporter Bioassay





ADCC Reporter Bioassay - Development

Low Variability NFAT-RE luciferase bioassay



- Frozen, thaw-and-use, or continuously cultured cells
- Extensive 'alpha' evaluations:
 - tested in multiple global biopharma & biotechs
 - tested in multiple systems

- Effector cells are engineered to express FcγRIIIa (V158) and NFAT-RE luc2 luciferase
- 2. 'Cells as reagents' (thaw-and-use)
- 3. Homogeneous assay format simple 'add-mix-read' bioluminescent assay
- 4. Optimized and robust assay reagents and protocol
- 5. Performance characteristics that meet needs of stability testing, lot release and Ab characterization



Bioassay Characteristics - ICH Guideline Q2 [R1]

Validation of Analytical Procedures

- Accuracy
- Precision:
 - Repeatability (intra-assay precision)
 - Intermediate precision (day to day, analyst-to analyst)
 - ✓ Reproducibility (lab to lab)
- Specificity
- Linearity
- Range
- Robustness

Design:

- Two analysts Three days
- Four plates per day

✓ 100% vs 50%

- ✓ 100% vs 75%
- ✓ 100% vs 125%
- ✓100% vs 150%

Relative potency







Simple Protocol

ADCC Reporter Bioassay Protocol

Single day bioassay

- 1. Incubate control, reference or test antibody with target cells.
- 2. Add engineered effector cells containing:
 - FcγRIIIa (V158)
 - NFAT-RE luc2 luciferase
- 3. Incubate to allow for pathway activation (as short as 6 hours).
- 4. Add luciferase detection reagent and measure luminescence.



Promega



ADCC Reporter Bioassay – Initial Results

Assay protocol:

CD20+ WIL2-S cells + Rituximab dilution series + Engineered Jurkat effectors ↓ Induction (22 hours) ↓ Quantification of luciferase activity



Specifics

 E:T ratio = 6:1 (150k effector cells:25k WIL2-S target cells, per well)



Cell Selection and Frozen, Thaw-and-Use Format



Engineered Effector Cell Clone Selection

Clone selection based on maximizing RLU, fold induction, and passage stability





Cells as Reagents

Frozen, Thaw-and-Use Cells

- 1. Human cell lines
 - Developed as Thaw-and-Use for immediate use in bioassay
 - Designed to give good recovery and robust response upon thawing
- 2. Thaw-and-Use format
 - Cell propagation conditions & defined freezing protocol control assay performance for a consistent bioassay response
 - No pre-culturing prior to assay means less variability introduced
 - Indefinite storage
 - Identical cells in bioassay, day-to-day
- 3. Minimizes pre-assay planning, time & labor
 - Ample cell banks provide long-term supply

\rightarrow No cell culture required with cells in frozen, thaw-and-use format



Complete QC on Cells

Production cell batches are rigorously tested:

- STR analysis cell ID profile (human)
- CO1 analysis (cytochrome oxidase) test for presence of species (human and other potential contaminants)
- Cell doubling time under propagation conditions
- Mycoplasma (Hoechst and direct culture)
- Sterility
- Cell density
- Cell viability after thaw
- Fill volume
- ADCC Reporter Bioassay (EC₅₀ and fold induction)





Optimization Studies



Critical Assay Parameters



Other parameters tested:

- Assay buffer: serum concentration, use of low IgG serum
- Cell numbers per well
- Pre-plating and incubation time: target cell plating, antibody/target cells incubation
- Assay plates: White flat, V- or U-bottom plates



Selection of Control Antibody

Requirements:

- EC₅₀ close to the range of biologic Ab drugs
- Good fold induction
- Good stability



Anti-CD20	Rituximab	Control Ab (A)	Control Ab (B)
EC ₅₀ (g/ml)	1.7x10 ⁻⁹	8.9x10 ⁻⁹	47.3x10 ⁻⁹
Fold Induction	62	38	8



Use of Different Target Cells

Suspension or adherent target cells can be used

Rituximab (anti-CD20)

CD20⁺ B cell lines (suspension) as target cells

Trastuzumab (anti-Her-2)

Her2⁺ breast cancer cell lines (adherent) as target cells





Bioassay Development: Optimization Using DOE

Variables:

- 1. Induction time
- 2. Target/Ab pre-incubation
- 3. Effector cell number
- 4. Target cell number

		Target cell / Ab	Target cell / Ab Jurkat cell	
run	induction time hr	incubation time(mins)	plating number (K)	plating number (K)
1	5.5	30	75	10
2	5.5	30	75	12.5
3	5.5	30	90	12
4	5.5	30	90	15
5	5.5	45	75	10
6	5.5	45	75	12.5
7	5.5	45	90	12
8	5.5	45	90	15
9	6	30	75	10
10	6	30	75	12.5
11	6	30	90	12
12	6	30	90	15
13	6	45	75	10
14	6	45	75	12.5
15	6	45	90	12
16	6	45	90	15



Outputs & Results:

Good response (fold induction) = 19-27 Good (low) L-term values = 0.1-0.2*

*a measure of assay precision around the EC50 determination (log width of the 95% confidence interval around logEC50)



Performance



Qualification Studies

- Parallelism and measurement of potency relative to the reference antibody
- Linearity & accuracy of observed versus expected potencies across the desired working range of potencies
- Precision
 - intra-assay
 - intermediate (inter-assay) precision
- Specificity to show response is dependent on specific antibody and the presence of target cells and FcγRIIIa on effector cells, and not other components
- Stability-indicating to show the bioassay is capable of detecting loss of structural integrity of an antibody

These qualification studies are critical to demonstrate a useful and effective ADCC bioassay



ADCC Reporter Bioassay is Specific





ADCC Reporter Bioassay is Robust



Time of induction

Run	Induction time	EC ₅₀
1	6.0 hr	3.15x10 ⁻⁸ g/ml
2	5.5 hr	3.83x10 ⁻⁸ g/ml



E:T ratio and cell # per well

Run	E:T ratio	E cell #	T cell #	EC ₅₀
1	7.5:1	75k	10k	3.09x10 ⁻⁸ g/ml
2	6:1	90k	15k	3.83x10⁻ ⁸ g/ml



Miniaturization to 384-well Plates

WIL2-S target cells



Assay volume per well	Target cells	Antibody	Effector cells	Bio-Glo™
96-well plate	25µl	25µl	25µl	75µl
384-well plate	5µl	5µl	5µl	15µl

Raji target cells



Assay Qualification Results

Bioassay uses frozen-thaw-and-use cells for both effector cells and WIL2-S target cells



Good repeatability, accuracy, precision and linearity were obtained 36



Assay Qualification Results with Raji Target Cells

Analyst 1

Day	Antibody Sample	Measured Potency (%)	Mean Potency (%)	SD (%)	Recovery (%)	CV (%)	
1		49.9					
2	50%	51.3	51	0.7	102	1.4	
3		50.5					
1		78.9					
2	75%	78.8	76	5.11	101	6.7	
3		70					
1		118.6					
2	125%	116.9	117	1.19	94	1	
3		116.3					
1		143.2					
2	150%	142.5	145	3.91	97	2.7	
3		149.6					
					00	•	
Precision: 2.95%						0	

Accuracy: (recovery average): 98.5%

Linearity: Y=0.922x+5.0



Analyst 2

Day	Antibody Sample	Measured Potency (%)	Mean Potency (%)	SD (%)	Recovery (%)	CV (%)
1		38.4				
2	50%	47.2	41.8	7.2	83.5	17.2
3		33.2				
4		48.2				
1		59.6				
2	75%	70.2	67.4	5.2	89.9	7.7
3		69.3				
4		70.5				
1		120				
2	125%	132.3	129.7	7.5	103.7	5.8
3		137.8				
4		128.6				
1		160.2				
2	150%	158.2	162.8	5.2	108.5	3.2
3		162.7				
4		170				

Precision: 8.47%

Accuracy (recovery average): 96.4%

Linearity: Y=1.22x-21.3



Potency Determinations Using Quantitative Bioassays

A test sample of unknown biological activity is compared with a reference sample of established biological activity in a dose-response study in the test system.

The bioassay establishes potency relative to a reference standard



- Curve fitting and statistical methods determine parallelism
- Parallel curves signify equivalent means of effecting biological activity
- Relative potency is quantified through shift of response along the x-axis
- Slope difference suggests non-equivalent means of effecting response if it falls outside of acceptance criteria; a manufactured lot would fail if this were so



Measurement of Relative Potency & Parallelism



Parallelism and relative potency determined with JMP Software



Stability Indicating



Stability Indicating for Fc Effector Function

Rituximab 4×1006 degrees C control EC50 = 5.77ng/ml 4 1 day 65 degrees C 3×1006 2 days 65 degrees C 3 days 65 degrees C RLU 2×1006 1×1006 0-10-14 10-8 10-12 10⁻¹⁰ 10-6 10-4 [Rituximab], g/ml Tositumomab 4×1006 EC50 = 31.0ng/ml control at 4 degrees C 5' at 65 degres C 3×1006 10' at 65 degrees C 15' at 65 degrees C ר 2×10⁰ 1×1006 0.000001 0.0001 0.01 100 [B1 antibody, anti-CD20], ug/ml

Activity of heat-treated antibody drugs

Trastuzumab





Antibody Variants



Analysis of Mixed Glycosylation mAbs



Target cells: SKBR3; Unt = 100% glycosylated



ADCC Reporter Bioassay Activity Correlates with Amount of Antibody N-glycosylation

Rituximab and Trastuzumab:

Linear correlation obtained between percentage of N-glycosylated antibody in blended antibody samples and relative luciferase reporter activity in ADCC reporter bioassay





Small differences in Fc effector activity in ADCC pathway activation are easily distinguished in the ADCC reporter bioassay



ADCC Reporter Activity Correlates with Amount of Antibody Afucosylation



Linear correlation shown between percentage of afucosylated antibody in blended antibody samples and relative luciferase reporter activity in ADCC reporter assay



Bioassay Characteristics - ICH Guideline Q2 [R1]

Validation of Analytical Procedures:

- Accuracy
- Precision:
 - ✓ Repeatability (intra-assay) precision)
 - ✓ Intermediate precision (day to day, analyst-to analyst)
 - ✓ Reproducibility (lab to lab)
- Specificity
- Linearity
- Range
- **Robustness**

Design:

• Two analysts • Three days

• Four plates per day

- ✓ 100% vs 50%
- ✓ 100% vs 75%
- ✓ 100% vs 125%
- ✓ 100% vs 150%

Relative potency





Expected Relative Potency, %



External Evaluations



Updates from Clients...

- Approved manufacturing cell line switch by a pharmaceutical company
- Submitted in an IND filing
- Being developed for lot-release testing
- Charles River Laboratories and Catalent are providing ADCC Reporter Bioassay services
- Adopted by major pharmaceutical companies



Kit Formats



ADCC Reporter Bioassay Kit Configurations



Note: the ADCC Bioassay Effector Cells are available for propagation and banking under a unique purchase agreement

Summary of the ADCC Reporter Bioassay

Features

- Low variability
- Engineered effector cells to replace primary NK cells (Jurkat FcγRIIIa/NFAT-RE luc2)
- "Cells as reagents", frozen, thaw-and-use format consistency & convenience
- Simple & robust protocol & reagents
- Broad applicability in use with multiple target cells suspension or adherent

Benefits

- Demonstrates precision, accuracy, linearity, robustness
- Can quantify potency and stability of therapeutic Ab drugs
- Can differentiate biological activity of Fc effector function in ADCC MOA resulting from small changes in Ab glycosylation

For more information

Richard Somberg, PhD Strategic Collaborations Manager <u>Richard.somberg@promega.com</u>

Neal Cosby, PhD Strategic Marketing Manager <u>Neal.cosby@promega.com</u>

Or

Custom Order Department <u>COD@promega.com</u>

Acknowledgements:

Frank Fan, Terry Surowy, Jey Cheng, Rich Moravec, Denise Garvin, Aileen Paguio, Pete Stecha